Message

From: Ryan, Jeff [Ryan.Jeff@epa.gov]

Sent: 3/2/2018 1:31:08 PM

To: Offenberg, John [Offenberg.John@epa.gov]
CC: Strynar, Mark [Strynar.Mark@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: ORD support to NCDEQ

That makes good sense. I do think we'll reach a point where we'll collect cans at Chemours, among other things, but I would think an Addendum to the Addendum would be the solution if that time comes. I do think we have some some before Mark will tackle the NC samples.

From: Offenberg, John

Sent: Thursday, March 01, 2018 4:09 PM
To: Ryan, Jeff < Ryan. Jeff@epa.gov>
Cc: Strynar, Mark < Strynar. Mark@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: ORD support to NCDEQ

In short, not readily without editing that would likely get confusing and begin to cross wires.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

I'll happily take on the drafting, editing, etc. of this NC addendum, as well.

John

From: Ryan, Jeff

Sent: Thursday, March 1, 2018 4:04 PM

Cc: Offenberg, John < Offenberg. John@epa.gov>; Strynar, Mark < Strynar. Mark@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: ORD support to NCDEQ

I guess this raises a QAPP issue right? Can the Addendum include NC?

From: Buckley, Timothy

Sent: Thursday, March 01, 2018 3:28 PM

To: Ryan, Jeff < Ryan. Jeff@epa.gov >; Gullett, Brian < Gullett. Brian@epa.gov >

Cc: Offenberg, John < Offenberg. John@epa.gov>; Strynar, Mark < Strynar. Mark@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: ORD support to NCDEQ

Jeff,

In NERL we used this process and it seemed to work well. In my mind, there is a threshold of effort that warrants a process of requesting assistance in writing. That threshold is surpassed when asking for lab analysis and data reporting. Our folks often serve as technical experts and provide consultation on an ad hoc informal basis without management approval (or knowledge). I imagine the same is true for you. Is NC DEQ requesting lab support? It is my understanding that the Regional lab is now providing most of this support.

If the request is substantive enough to warrant a written request, I think that it can be a letter or email. For NERL, one way or another, it is important to show that the region is supportive of the request. I would suggest that the request go to NRML rather than NERL since you are providing primary support /expertise related to stack sampling.

I hope this helps.

Tim

Timothy J. Buckley, PhD
Director of the Exposure Methods & Measurements Division
National Exposure Research Laboratory
109 TW Alexander Drive
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

Email: buckley.timothy@epa.gov

URL: http://www.epa.gov/heasd/staff/buckley.html

Phone: (919) 541-2454 (O); FAX: -0239

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

From: Ryan, Jeff

Sent: Thursday, March 01, 2018 2:09 PM

To: Buckley, Timothy <<u>Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov</u>>; Gullett, Brian <<u>Gullett.Brian@epa.gov</u>> **Cc:** Offenberg, John <<u>Offenberg.John@epa.gov</u>>; Strynar, Mark <<u>Strynar.Mark@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: ORD support to NCDEQ

Tim and Brian

I know we still need to get a formal request from NCDEQ for ORD's PFAS support. I offered to help Michael Pjetraj make that happen. I know it's something we need to do soon. Does this need to be a letter? An email? Who should it be directed to? Through? Should I use Tim Watkin's letter from NH as a template? I know OAQPS wants to be included in the process as well.

Any guidance is most appreciated.

Jeff Ryan – Senior Scientist
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Research and Development
National Risk Management Research Laboratory
Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division
Air Pollution Technology Branch
109 T.W. Alexander Drive
Mail Drop E305-01
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711
(919) 541-1437 (919) 541-0554 FAX
ryan.jeff@epa.gov

"And all this science, I don't understand."

"It's just my job 5 days a week"